

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO         |  |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/887,602                                       | 06/22/2001  | Frederic Bauchot     | FR920000050US1      | 7284                    |  |  |
| 7590 05/27/2005                                  |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                         |  |  |
| IBM CORPORATION                                  |             |                      | PAULA, CESAR B      |                         |  |  |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT. IQOA/BLDG. 040-3 |             |                      |                     |                         |  |  |
| 1701 NORTTH                                      | STREET      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER            |  |  |
| ENDICOTT,, NY 13760                              |             |                      | 2178                |                         |  |  |
|  |             |                      |                     | DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005 |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 09/887,602      | BAUCHOT, FREDERIC |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |  |
| CESAR B. PAULA  | 2178              |  |  |

| 20.000 0.000 0.000   | LABITITIO  | raic ouic  | 1  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | CESAR B. PAULA   | 2178   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin  | g date of the final rejection.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   | to a colonia about data of fillings of bodof   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially re  | ducing or simplifying  | the issues for   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   |  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |  | umpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>  |  | impliant Amondment   | (1 102 024).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).  |  | timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi<br>vided below or appended.  | ll be entered and an o   | explanation of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>Id sufficient reasons why the affidat  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i  | ot be entered<br>s necessary and                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>lee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(   | ils to provide a<br>1).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attac   | hed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by<br>The Applicant indicates that Anderson does not support<br>least two ranges having different relative addresses (pa<br>(included in the page). The sets or group of cells have of<br>found, or located at different addresses in a spreadshee  | t a set of ranges of cells, where eac<br>ge 2). Anderson teaches grouping<br>different addresses relative to the po<br>et (col.9, lines 61-col.10, lines 31, c | th range has the sam<br>page or set of ranges<br>ages where the group<br>ol.7, lines 62-col.8, lines | e size and at<br>of cells<br>of cells is<br>ne 10, fig.4g-4j). |  |  |  |  |  |
| It appears that the Applicant is according the term "relative" the meaning found in the specification (page 2, parag.4). The claims do not accord a meaning to the "relative addresses" one way or another. It does not specify relative to which page, object, etc., it is referring to. Therefore, the claim is being rejected using a reasonable broad interpretation.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | lo(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 T T C/IDEC   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050525

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER